IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI,

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 13310 of 2005

Between:

1 C. Pullamma, W/o. Cinna Venkateswarlu,
R/o. Vengalapuram Village, Lingasamudram Mandal,
Prakasam District.
2 C. China Anjamma, W/o. Peda Malakondaiah,
R/o. Vengalapuram Village, Lingasamudram Mandal,
Prakasam District.
3 Gangati China Anjamma, W/o. Venkateswarlu,
R/o. Vengalapuram Village, Lingasamudram Mandal,
Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Lingasamudram Mandal,
Lingasamudram, Prakasam District.
2 The Sarpanch, Yarra Reddy Palem Grampanchayat,
Yarra Reddy Palem Village, Lingasamudram Mandal,
Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not implementing the resolution dated 26-2-2005 scrupulously passed by the second respondent and also in not laying 16 ft. road on the northern side parallel to the southern side of the main road of Vengalapuram Village, Lingasamudram Mandal, Prakasam District, as illegal, arbitrary, against principles of natural justice, and violative of Art. 14, 21 and 32 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to implement the resolution dated 26-2-2005 scrupulously without any deviation and lay road on northern side parallel to the southern side and pass such other and further order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper

Counsel for the Petitioners : MR.S.A.RAZACK

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

(per Hon'ble The Acting Chief Justice)

.....

1 . Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file fresh writ petition.

2 . Permission is accorded and the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh writ petition. No costs.

_____

BILAL NAZKI, ACJ.,

_____

G. CHANDRAIAH. J.,

Dated: 29.06.2005.

VRK.

To

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Lingasamudram Mandal,
Lingasamudram, Prakasam District.
2 The Sarpanch, Yarra Reddy Palem Grampanchayat,
Yarra Reddy Palem Village, Lingasamudram Mandal,
Prakasam District.

3. Two CCs to G.P., for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

4. The Officer concerned, Public Interest Litigation Cell, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.

5. The Secretary, A.P. State Legal Aid & Advisory Board, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.

6. The Secretary to Government of A.P., Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

7. Two CD copies.

HON’BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI,

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE

G. CHANDRAIAH.

W.P.NO. 13310 OF 2005.

(Order of the Bench delivered by

Hon'ble The Acting Chief Justice)

Dt: 29.06.2005.

-